

Rubric for the reviewers of workshops at SEFI conferences

Criteria	Unacceptable = 0	Adjustment(s) needed = 1	Accepted, nice work = 2
Relevance <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Evidence-based relevance of the topic for engineering education and its development in Europe and/or the world. - Effective communication of the topic through title. - Elaboration of the context. - The target audience is specified, if needed. 	<p>The relevance of this workshop for the Engineering Education community is insufficient or unclear. Explanation: <i>feedback</i></p>	<p>Please develop the workshop to increase its relevance to the participants. More specifically: <i>feedback</i></p>	<p>The relevance of this workshop is clear.</p>
Workshop objectives <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Objectives of the workshop are clearly stated. - Key topics are covered in a systematic manner. - Outcome of the work and documentation will be provided. 	<p>The workshop lacks clear objectives, and the design does not ensure meaningful outcomes. Explanation: <i>feedback</i></p>	<p>Please revise the workshop design to increase clarity of objectives and outcomes. More specifically: <i>feedback</i></p>	<p>The workshop has clear objectives, and the implementation ensures meaningful outcomes.</p>
Interactivity <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The workshop is designed around joint action and collaborative participation. - The participants are encouraged to engage and work together actively (e.g., facilitated group/peer discussions, problem-solving challenges, hands-on activities). - The design guarantees that the workshop will have an appropriate duration. 	<p>The workshop design does not foster participant engagement and collaboration. Explanation: <i>feedback</i></p>	<p>Please revise the workshop design to assure engagement within the given timeframe. More specifically: <i>feedback</i></p>	<p>The workshop design guarantees an engaging experience.</p>
Presentation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Appropriate title, abstract. - Readability and language. - Compliance with the formatting requirements of the provided template for a workshop paper. 	<p>This paper is difficult to read and understand. Explanation: <i>feedback</i></p>	<p>Please develop the format, structure, word choices, or grammar and spelling. More specifically: <i>feedback</i></p>	<p>The paper is fully readable: it's clear, well structured, with satisfactory language.</p>
Conclusion	<p>If one of the first three criteria is unacceptable (not remediable within the provided time), the workshop is rejected.</p>	<p>If one of the criteria needs adjustment, the workshop is accepted but revision is needed.</p>	<p>If all criteria are accepted, the workshop is accepted.</p>